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1/(1+K) <= 0.02 ==> 1/0.02 - 1 <= K ==> K = 49
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Figure Lag compensated with delay
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## 1

Wherever the phase margin is targeted and increased/decreased the magnitude of the bode plot will become more/less flat. This implies that it is essential for the output of the compensators to have a consistent magnitude for both lead and lag compensators. The effect of a lead controller on a system is that the system has a faster response time and has the w(cg) value shifted to the right whereas for a lag controller the systems response is slowed down and has w(cg) shifted to the left.

## 2

From the plots the lead compensator exhibits a significantly lower amount of overshoot, peak times, and settling time, however the there is a higher steady state error value. In comparison to the lag controller, the value of the overshoot is higher, and exhibits a small amount of oscillation before the steady state value is reached. The advantage of having a lead compensator is that it settles faster but with the cost of a higher steady state error in comparison to the lag controller. Thus, there is a faster acceleration value for using a lead compensator which then causes the system to be less stable.
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